Do Baseline Client Characteristics Predict the Therapeutic Alliance in the Treatment of Schizophrenia?

Shannon M. Couture, MA,* David L. Roberts, MA,* David L. Penn, PhD,* Corinne Cather, PhD,† Michael W. Otto, PhD,† and Donald Goff, MD†

Abstract: This study examined clinical predictors of client and therapist alliance ratings early in therapy, the relationship between client and therapist alliance ratings, and the psychometric properties of the Working Alliance Inventory in individuals with schizophrenia receiving manual-based treatment. Assessment of clinical symptoms and social functioning were conducted at baseline, and alliance ratings were obtained at 5 weeks. The Working Alliance Inventory had high internal consistency, but there were low correlations between client and therapist ratings. Results also indicated that social functioning and the activation and autistic preoccupation factors on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale were significant predictors of therapists' alliance ratings. There were no significant relationships between clinical predictors and clients' therapeutic alliance ratings. The findings indicate that client interpersonal factors are significant predictors of the therapist-rated alliance in the treatment of schizophrenia. Low correlations between clients' and therapists' ratings of the alliance should be examined in future research.

Key Words: Alliance, psychosocial treatment, schizophrenia.

(J Nerv Ment Dis 2006;194: 10-14)

The emotional and collaborative relationship formed between the client and therapist in psychotherapy, commonly referred to as the *therapeutic alliance*, is an important ingredient for positive outcome (Horvath and Symonds, 1991; Krupnik et al., 1996; Martin et al., 2000). Two meta-analyses found that the therapeutic alliance is modestly associated with outcome (with an effect size between .22 and .26), and that this relationship held regardless of the intervention studied (Hovarth and Symonds, 1991; Martin et al., 2000). Among individuals with schizophrenia, the therapeutic alliance is related to global functioning, reduced symptom severity, better quality of life, improved social functioning,

and greater medication compliance (Frank and Gunderson, 1990; Gehrs and Goering, 1994; Neale and Rosenheck, 1995; Olfson et al., 1993; Solomon et al., 1995; Svensson and Hansson, 1999). Given the challenging task of engaging clients with schizophrenia in treatment and encouraging treatment continuation (Fenton et al., 1997; Roberts et al., 2004; Tarrier and Bobes, 2000), these findings are promising, and suggest that a positive therapeutic alliance can be formed with persons with schizophrenia and may impact treatment continuance and outcome.

The consistent relationship between therapeutic alliance and outcome highlights the importance of identifying factors that influence or predict the development of a strong alliance (Gibbons et al., 2003). In nonpsychotic samples, client demographic characteristics do not appear to play a role in alliance formation (Constantino et al., 2002; for an exception see Gibbons et al., 2003). Research also indicates that client symptoms are not related to ratings of therapeutic alliance by either the therapist or client (Gibbons et al., 2003; Hersoug et al., 2002; Marmar et al., 1989; Moras and Strupp, 1982; Saunders, 2001; for an exception, see Eaton et al., 1988), whereas pretreatment interpersonal functioning (Eltz et al., 1995; Gibbons et al., 2003; Hersoug et al., 2002; Kokotovic and Tracey, 1990; Mallinckrodt, 1991; Marziali, 1984; Moras and Strupp, 1982; however, see Gaston et al., 1988; Mallinckrodt, 1996; Santiago et al., 2002, for exceptions to these findings) and several intrapersonal variables, such as hostility and a detached style, have been found to predict therapeutic alliance ratings (Hersoug et al., 2002; Kivlinghan et al., 1998; Saunders, 2001).

Fewer studies have examined the relationship between pretreatment client characteristics and alliance formation in individuals with schizophrenia. In a rare longitudinal study, Svensson and Hansson (1999) reported that client complaints/ problems and pretreatment levels of working ability and social relationships significantly predicted stronger client-rated alliance. Interestingly, these findings were not replicated in designs utilizing concurrent assessment of alliance and client characteristics in treatment-seeking outpatients with schizophrenia (Gehrs and Goering, 1994; Neale and Rosenheck, 1995). Ratings of global functioning also appear to be inconsistently correlated with concurrent alliance ratings, with lower baseline global functioning significantly correlated with lower alliance ratings in one study (Allen et

Copyright © 2006 by Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

ISSN: 0022-3018/06/19401-0010

DOI: 10.1097/01.nmd.0000195315.39196.52

^{*}University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina; and †Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.

Supported by an unrestricted educational grant from Eli Lilly and Company awarded to Donald C. Goff, MD.

Send reprint requests to David L. Penn, PhD, University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill, Department of Psychology, Davie Hall, CB#3270, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3270.

al., 1985), but not in other studies (Clarkin et al., 1987; Neale and Rosenheck, 1995).

The longitudinal study by Svensson and Hansson (1999) was also notable because there were different predictors of client versus therapist rated alliance. It is unclear whether the lack of association between the two sources of alliance ratings may itself be a feature of schizophrenia, with some studies revealing high associations (with correlation coefficients between .69 and .72; Gehrs and Goering, 1994) and others providing much lower estimates (.20; Neale and Rosenheck, 1995), as compared with the fairly modest agreement between client and therapist ratings in other disorders (approximately .40; Hersoug et al., 2001).

In summary, interpersonal functioning has shown a modest relationship with alliance formation among nonpsychotic individuals, and has shown promise in the only longitudinal study of alliance in schizophrenia. Attention to the predictive significance of interpersonal functioning is especially important in schizophrenia spectrum disorders, where social and interpersonal deficits are common, even after successful neuroleptic treatment (Wiersma et al., 1998). In addition, the symptoms associated with schizophrenia spectrum disorders are markedly different from those associated with other disorders. Thus, it is not clear whether findings from nonpsychotic samples, which failed to show a relationship between symptoms and the alliance, can be generalized to schizophrenia. For example, negative symptoms, such as social and emotional withdrawal and blunted affect, seem similar to a detached style of interaction with others, which is related to poorer alliance in nonpsychotic samples (Hersoug et al., 2002; Kivlinghan et al., 1998; Saunders, 2001).

The purpose of the present study was to examine the factors that predict the therapeutic alliance among individuals with schizophrenia receiving either structured psychoeducational or cognitive-behavioral treatment. Baseline predictors included psychotic symptoms and social functioning, and the alliance was assessed following 5 weeks of treatment. Given previous indications of poor agreement between therapist and client ratings of alliance (Neale and Rosenheck, 1995), we examined client and therapist alliance ratings separately. The following hypotheses were formulated: 1) there would be a significant relationship between therapist and client ratings of the alliance, and 2) lower negative symptoms and better social functioning at baseline would significantly predict greater clinician and client ratings of the therapeutic alliance 5 weeks into therapy.

METHODS

Participants

Participants were part of a randomized clinical trial comparing a cognitive-behavioral intervention to psychoeducation for individuals with chronic schizophrenia (Cather et al., 2005). Participants were recruited from outpatient clinics in Boston (N=18) and at the University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill (N=12). To be eligible for the study, participants met the following criteria: 1) DSM-IV diagnosis of either schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder, 2) on a stable medication regimen including olanzapine for the past 3

months, 3) aged 18 to 65, 4) no developmental disability, 5) no substance use disorder in the past 6 months, 6) IQ of at least 70, and 7) presence of delusions or hallucinations of at least "minimum severity" according to the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; presence of one or two delusions or hallucinations that minimally impact behavior). Demographic and diagnostic characteristics for participants are provided in Table 1.

Measures

Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale

Research assistants who had been trained to adequate reliability (ICC >.80 with a gold standard rater) and were blind to treatment condition administered the PANSS (Kay et al., 1987). For the current study, the five-factor solution of the PANSS was used (White et al., 1997): positive symptoms, negative symptoms, dysphoric mood, activation (indicating overactivation), and autistic preoccupation.

Social Functioning Scale

The Social Functioning Scale (SFS; Birchwood et al., 1990) is a commonly used measure of social and occupational functioning for individuals with schizophrenia. The SFS is comprised of 74 items that are rated by the respondent on Likert and frequency scales. The SFS was developed for schizophrenia research and has excellent psychometric properties (Birchwood et al., 1990). For this study, the total score on the SFS was used as an index of social functioning (mean = 120.9, SD = 23.8).

Working Alliance Inventory

The Working Alliance Inventory (WAI; Horvath and Greenberg, 1986, 1989) is comprised of 36 statements (e.g., "the therapist (or client) and I understand each other") that are rated on a 7-point Likert scale anchored by 1, "never," and 7, "always." The WAI has adequate reliability and validity across a variety of diagnoses (Horvath and Greenberg, 1989; Tichenor and Hill, 1989). An overall index of the alliance is computed by summing across the 36 items (therapist rating: mean = 192.8, SD = 34.4; client rating: mean = 199.5, SD = 27.8).

The therapist and client were asked to complete the WAI and place their ratings in a sealed envelope, which was given to a research assistant. To reduce a social desirability bias, the therapist and client were informed that their responses would not be shared with each other, but would be available only to members of the research staff.

Treatments

Participants were randomly assigned to receive either a cognitive-behavioral intervention or a psychoeducation intervention for 16 weekly sessions, followed by 4 biweekly booster sessions. These treatments are described elsewhere (Cather et al., 2005). Analyses were collapsed across treatments as previous research has shown that the type of intervention does not typically impact alliance (Martin et al., 2000) and both treatments have a specific focus on developing a strong therapeutic alliance with the client. A clinical psychologist (D. L. P. or C. C.) reviewed audiotaped therapy sessions and conducted weekly supervision with therapists to

	Total, $N = 30$		NC, N = 12		Boston, $N = 18$	
	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)	Mean	(SD)
Age**	40.87	(11.74)	33.08	(10.34)	46.06	(9.72)
Years of education	13.82	(1.91)	14.58	(2.07)	13.25	(1.61)
Years of illness†	18.57	(12.97)	8.83	(9.12)	25.06	(11.03)
Olanzapine dosage	19.90	(8.49)	16.39	(9.45)	21.88	(7.50)
PANSS-autistic*	10.23	(4.16)	8.00	(2.23)	11.72	(4.52)
PANSS-activation	5.97	(2.30)	5.25	(1.48)	6.44	(2.63)
PANSS-dysphoric*	13.80	(4.08)	13.33	(2.77)	14.11	(4.81
PANSS-positive	13.33	(3.81)	13.08	(3.03)	13.50	(4.33)
PANSS-negative	14.20	(4.91)	12.17	(3.86)	15.56	(5.16
	Percent (N)		Percent (N)		Percent (N)	
Female*	43.3 (13)		66.7 (8)		27.8 (5)	
Ethnicity						
Caucasian	70 (21)		66.7 (8)		72.2 (13)	
African American	26.7 (8)		33.3 (4)		22.2 (4)	
Hispanic	3.3 (1)		0 (0)		5.6 (1)	
Diagnosis						
Schizophrenia	63.3 (19)		58.3 (7)		66.6 (12)	
Schizoaffective	36.7 (11)		41.7 (5)		33.3 (6)	

maintain treatment fidelity. Mean therapist age was 34.3 years with 7.8 years of previous clinical experience. Most of the therapists (66.7%) were male.

RESULTS

Data Analysis Overview

We first examined the reliability of the WAI to provide preliminary data on the psychometric properties of this measure with clients with schizophrenia, as there has been little work in this area. Next, we computed correlations between client and therapist ratings on the WAI. Correlations between baseline predictors (symptoms and social functioning) and therapeutic alliance ratings were then examined separately for clients' and therapists' ratings. Finally, we conducted a hierarchical multiple regression analysis to examine the unique contribution of the significant predictors to the WAI total score at week 5.

Site Differences

We conducted a series of tests to determine whether it would be necessary to control for site in the data analyses. Boston participants were significantly older, had a longer duration of illness, included a greater proportion of male participants, and had greater PANSS scores on the dysphoric and autistic preoccupation factors (all p values <0.05). However, none of these demographic or clinical variables were significantly associated with either the client or therapist WAI ratings. Therefore, we did not control for these variables in the primary analyses.

Reliability Analyses and Intercorrelations Between the Client and Therapist on the Working Alliance Inventory

Scale reliability analyses were conducted on the WAI for both clients and therapists. Both versions had a high level of internal consistency, ranging from .94 for client ratings to .97 for therapist ratings. The correlation between client's and therapist's WAI-Total ratings was not statistically significant (r = .144; p = 0.545).

Correlations Between Baseline Variables and Alliance Ratings at Week 5

Correlations between client alliance ratings and baseline variables are presented in Table 2. Because of the number of correlation coefficients, we applied a Bonferroni correction of p < 0.008 to the analyses. There were no significant correlations between client ratings and any of the predictors. As a result, client ratings were not considered in multiple regression analyses.

TABLE 2. Correlations Between WAI Scores and Predictors for Clients and Therapists

Predictor	WAI-client	p	WAI-therapist	p
PANSS-positive	257	0.260	195	0.351
PANSS-negative	.007	0.975	278	0.178
PANSS-dysphoric	092	0.692	269	0.194
PANSS-activation	.032	0.892	563**	0.003
PANSS-autistic preocc	244	0.287	490*	0.013
SFS	001	0.997	.411*	0.041
*p < 0.05; **Bonferron	ii-corrected α (p <	< 0.008).		

A greater therapeutic alliance from the therapist's perspective was associated with lower ratings on the activation and autistic preoccupation factors of the PANSS, and greater social functioning (Table 2). Although the PANSS activation factor was the only variable statistically significant at the Bonferroni-corrected α level, we entered all three variables in hierarchical regression analyses that were significant at the p < 0.05 level, given the relatively small sample size of this study.

Hierarchical Regression Analyses

We entered baseline social functioning in the first step of the hierarchical multiple regression analyses, given the hypothesis that greater social functioning would be related to alliance formation. The R^2 change for the first step was statistically significant (R^2 change = .169; p = 0.041); social functioning accounted for over 16% of the variance in therapist alliance ratings. The addition of the PANSS activation and autistic preoccupation factors in the second step contributed an additional 25% variance, which was statistically significant (p = 0.023). Thus, baseline social functioning and specific symptoms accounted for 34% of variance, and significantly predicted (p = 0.009) the therapeutic alliance at 5 weeks.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to examine the baseline client characteristics that predicted the working alliance 5 weeks into therapy. A secondary goal was to examine the correspondence between client and therapist alliance ratings. The findings, and our interpretation of them, are discussed below.

Our hypothesis that baseline social functioning would be associated with the therapeutic alliance at week 5 was supported. Baseline social functioning was a significant predictor of therapist alliance ratings, a finding that is consistent with the general psychotherapy literature (e.g., Gibbons et al., 2003; Hersoug et al., 2002), and with a previous longitudinal investigation of the treatment of schizophrenia (Svensson and Hansson, 1999). Indirect support for this hypothesis was also garnered from the finding that the activation factor on the PANSS significantly predicted therapist ratings of the alliance as the symptoms that comprise this factor, such as hostility, uncooperativeness, and excitement, are interpersonal in nature.

There was mixed support for the hypothesis that negative symptoms would predict the therapeutic alliance. Although the negative symptom factor was not significantly associated with therapist alliance ratings, the autistic factor was significantly related (although not at the Bonferronicorrected α level). This factor includes the symptoms of disturbance in volition and psychomotor retardation, which have traditionally been considered negative symptoms (note: the correlation between these factors, .775, was significant in our sample). Thus, behaviors in the negative symptom spectrum may be associated with formation of the therapeutic alliance.

In contrast to the foregoing, there were no significant baseline predictors of the client's therapeutic alliance ratings, and there was not a significant association between client and therapist ratings of the alliance. If client ratings of alliance are not associated with baseline levels of symptoms or social adjustment, and are not related to their therapist's alliance ratings, what then might account for variation among alliance scores in clients? Research with clients with major depression suggests that client ratings of alliance were strongly associated with clinical improvement, but that changes in alliance followed rather than preceded clinical improvements (Tang and DeRubeis, 1999). Thus, assessment of expectations of improvement (Gibbons et al., 2003) and ongoing assessments of level of improvement is a promising area for further investigation of client's alliance ratings during the treatment of schizophrenia (if the mechanism of alliance change is similar to that observed in depression). Finally, greater attention needs to be placed on client perceptions of therapist characteristics, as clients with schizophrenia especially value therapist friendliness and the ability to provide support (Coursey et al., 1991; 1995).

Our findings of a low correlation between therapist and client ratings of alliance replicated previous findings (Neale and Rosenheck, 1995). These findings suggest that therapist perceptions of how the client values therapy may not always be consistent with the client's perspective. In an exploratory study, Tarrier et al. (1998) found that the most common reason clients with schizophrenia reported that they discontinued treatment was that it was not useful for their problem. Future research should examine the reasons underlying this discrepancy between client and therapist perceptions because if therapists are unable to anticipate the needs of clients, dissatisfaction with therapy may occur, and treatment progress may be delayed (or dropouts may occur).

CONCLUSION

In summary, the primary findings of this study were that baseline social functioning as well as activation and autistic preoccupation (as measured by the PANSS) were the most significant predictors of therapist's perceptions of the therapeutic alliance, and that there were no significant relationships between therapist and client alliance ratings. These findings suggest that clients with schizophrenia who enter treatment with poorer social functioning may be judged by therapists as forming a poor alliance in therapy, but that clients may be unlikely to share this opinion. Future research should replicate these findings with a larger sample size and with assessments of the therapeutic alliance conducted throughout treatment, not just early in therapy.

REFERENCES

Allen JG, Tarnoff G, Coyne L (1985) Therapeutic alliance and long-term hospital treatment outcome. Compr Psychiatry. 26:187–194.

Birchwood M, Smith J, Cochrane R, Wetton S, Copestake S (1990) The Social Functioning Scale: The development and validation of a new scale of social adjustment for use in family intervention programmes with schizophrenic patients. *Br J Psychiatry*. 157:853–859.

Cather C, Penn DL, Otto MW, Yovel I, Mueser KT, Goff D (2005) A pilot study of functional cognitive behavior therapy (fCBT) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Res.* 74:201–209

Clarkin JF, Hurt SW, Crilly JL (1987) Therapeutic alliance and hospital treatment outcome. *Hosp Community Psychiatry*. 38:871–875.

Constantino MJ, Castonguay LG, Schut AJ (2002) The working alliance: a flagship for the "scientist-practitioner" model in psychotherapy. In

- G Tyron (Ed), Counseling Based on Process Research. New York: Allyn & Bacon.
- Coursey RD, Farrell EW, Zahniser JH (1991) Consumers' attitudes towards psychotherapy, hospitalization and aftercare service. *Health Soc Work*. 16:155–161
- Coursey RD, Keller AB, Farrell EW (1995) Individual psychotherapy and persons with serious mental illness: The clients' perspective. Schizophr Bull. 21:283–301.
- Eaton TT, Abeles N, Gutfreund MJ (1988) Therapeutic alliance and outcome: impact of treatment length and pretreatment symptomatology. *Psychotherapy*. 25:536–542.
- Eltz MJ, Shirck SR, Sarlin N (1995) Alliance formation and treatment outcome among maltreated adolescents. Child Abuse Neglect. 19:419–431.
- Fenton WS, Blyler CR, Heinssen RK (1997) Determinants of medication compliance in schizophrenia: Empirical and clinical findings. *Schizophr Bull*. 23:637–651.
- Frank AF, Gunderson JG (1990) The role of the therapeutic alliance in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 47:228–236.
- Gaston L, Marmar CR, Thompson LW, Gallagher D (1988) Relation of patient pretreatment characteristics to the therapeutic alliance in diverse psychotherapies. J Consult Clin Psychol. 56:483–489.
- Gehrs M, Goering P (1994) Alliance and rehabilitation outcomes of schizophrenia. Psychosoc Rehabil J. 18:43–54.
- Gibbons MBC, Crits-Christoph P, de la Cruz C, Barber JP, Siqueland L, Gladis M (2003) Pretreatment expectations, interpersonal functioning and symptoms in the prediction of the therapeutic alliance across supportiveexpressive psychotherapy and cognitive therapy. *Psychother Res.* 13:59–76.
- Hersoug AG, Hoglend P, Monsen JT, Havik OE (2001) Quality of working alliance in psychotherapy: Therapist variables and patient/therapist similarity as predictors. J Psychother Pract Res. 10:205–216.
- Hersoug AG, Monsen JT, Havik OE, Hoglend P (2002) Quality of early working alliance in psychotherapy: Diagnoses, relationship and intrapsychic variables as predictors. *Psychother Psychosom.* 71:18–27.
- Horvath AO, Greenberg LS (1986) The development of the Working Alliance Inventory. In LS Greenberg, WM Pinsof (Eds), *The Psychotherapeutic Process: A Research Handbook* (Guilford Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy Series). New York: Guilford Press.
- Horvath AO, Greenberg LS (1989) Development and validation of the Working Alliance Inventory. J Couns Psychol. 36:223–233.
- Horvath AO, Symonds BD (1991) Relation between working alliance and outcome in psychotherapy: A meta-analysis. J Counsel Psychol. 38:139–149.
- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA (1987) The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull*. 13:261–267.
- Kivlinghan DM Jr., Patton MJ, Foote D (1998) Moderating effects of client attachment on the counselor experience-working alliance relationship. *J Counsel Psychol.* 37:16–21.
- Kokotovic AM, Tracey TJ (1990) Working alliance in the early phase of counseling. J Counsel Psychol. 37:16–21.
- Krupnik JL, Sotsky SM, Simmons S, Moyer J, Elkin I, Watkins J, Pilkonis PA (1996) The role of the therapeutic alliance in psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy outcome: Findings in the National Institute of Mental Health treatment of depression collaborative research program. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 64:532–539.

- Mallinckrodt B (1991) Clients' representations of childhood emotional bonds with parents, social support and formation of the working alliance. *J Counsel Psychol.* 39:401–409.
- Mallinckrodt B (1996) Change in working alliance, social support and psychological symptoms in brief therapy. J Counsel Psychol. 43:448–455.
- Marmar CR, Weiss DS, Gaston L (1989) Toward the validation of the California Therapeutic Alliance Rating System. Psychol Asses. 1:46–52.
- Martin DJ, Garske JP, Davis MK (2000) Relation of the therapeutic alliance with outcome and other variables: A meta-analytic review. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 68:438–450.
- Marziali E (1984) Three viewpoints on the therapeutic alliance: similarities, differences and associations with psychotherapy outcome. *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 172:417–423.
- Moras K, Strupp HH (1982) Pretherapy interpersonal relations, patients' alliance and outcome in brief therapy. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 39:405–409.
- Neale MS, Rosenheck RA (1995) Therapeutic alliance and outcome in a VA intensive case management program. *Psychiatr Serv.* 46:719–721.
- Olfson M, Glick ID, Mechanic D (1993) Inpatient treatment of schizophrenia in general hospitals. *Hosp Community Psychiatry*. 44:40–44.
- Roberts DL, Penn DL, Cather C, Otto M, Goff D (2004) Should CBT target the social impairments associated with schizophrenia? *J Cogn Psychother*. 18:255–264
- Santiago NJ, Klein DN, Vivian D, Vocisano C, Dowling F, Arnow BA, Manber R, Markowitz JC, McCullough JP, Riso LP, Rothbaum BO, Rush AJ, Thase ME, Keller MB (2002) Pretreatment correlates of the therapeutic alliance in the chronically depressed. *J Contemp Psychother*. 32:281–290.
- Saunders SM (2001) Pretreatment correlates of the therapeutic bond. J Clin Psychol. 57:1339–1352.
- Solomon P, Draine J, Delaney MA (1995) The working alliance and consumer case management. *J Ment Health Admin*. 22:126–134.
- Svensson B, Hansson L (1999) Therapeutic alliance in cognitive therapy for schizophrenic and other long-term mentally ill patients: Development and relationship to outcome in an inpatient treatment programme. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 99:281–287.
- Tang TZ, DeRubeis R (1999) Sudden gains and critical sessions in cognitive behavior therapy for depression. J Consult Clin Psychol. 67:894–904.
- Tarrier N, Bobes J (2000) The importance of psychosocial interventions and patient involvement in the treatment of schizophrenia. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract*. 4:S35–S51.
- Tarrier N, Yusupoff L, McCarthy E, Kinney C, Wittkowski A (1998) Some reasons why patients suffering from chronic schizophrenia fail to continue in psychological treatment. *Behav Cogn Psychother*. 26:177–181.
- Tichenor V, Hill CE (1989) A comparison of six measures of working alliance. *Psychother Theory Res Pract Train*. 26:195–199.
- White L, Harvey PD, Opler L, Lindenmayer JP (1997) Empirical assessment of the factorial structure of clinical symptoms in schizophrenia: A multisite, multimodel evaluation of the factorial structure of the positive and negative syndrome scale. *Psychopathology*. 30:263–274.
- Wiersma D, Nienhuis FJ, Sloof CJ, Giel R (1998) Natural course of schizophrenic disorders: A 15-year followup of a Dutch incidence cohort. Schizophr Bull. 24:75–85.